



REVIEW FORM

Economic and Environmental Studies

This form is used for the review of articles intended for publication in the scientific journal *Economic and Environmental Studies*.

Please return the review within a month, in order to provide quick feedback to the authors. In the first part of the review, the reviewer should assess the articles according to the different categories, indicating one of the following assessments: unsatisfactory, satisfactory, good, very good, excellent.

In the second part of the review, it should be assessed whether the article is fit for publication by answering either yes or no.

In the third part, the reviewer explains the assessment and provides eventually comments regarding improvements the author should make before publication.

Title of article:

.....
.....

PART I. Assessment of the article (please indicate one answer for each category)

	Unsatisfactory *	Satisfactory	Good	Very good	Excellent
Relevance of the topic <i>To what extent is the topic relevant from the scientific point of view?</i>					
Match with profile of journal <i>To what extent does the paper match the profile of EES?</i>					
Goal <i>To what extent is the goal of the paper clearly stated?</i>					
Novelty <i>To what extent does the article contain new ideas?</i>					
Originality <i>To what extent is the article original, i.e., are the ideas presented important in comparison to the present state of the art?</i>					

ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES

REVIEW FORM

Application of results <i>To what extent can the result of the paper be applied in practice or contribute to the development of theory?</i>					
Methodological aspects <i>To what extent are the proper research methods used and applied? Are research data properly presented and analysed?</i>					
Clarity of arguments <i>To what extent are the arguments presented in a clear, transparent and logical way?</i>					
Logic of structure <i>To what extent is the structure of the article clear, coherent and consequent?</i>					
Illustrations and tables <i>To what extent are the illustrations used (tables, figures, diagrams, etc.) properly designed and presented?</i>					
References <i>To what extent are the references correctly quoted and written?</i>					
Literature used <i>To what extent is the literature properly selected? Are there important papers the author(s) have not mentioned?</i>					
Formal and language issues <i>To what extent is the article written using proper, understandable and scientific language?</i>					
Level of English language <i>To what extent does the English used in the paper fulfill the standards of a scientific article?</i>					
Scientific level <i>To what extent is the article scientific?</i>					
Good scientific practice <i>To what extent does the article fulfill standards of good scientific practice (plagiarism, ghostwriting, guest authorship, etc.)?</i>					
Conclusion <i>To what extent are the interpretations and conclusions sound and justified by analysis and/ or data?</i>					

* - please explain in part III

PART II. Conclusion – please indicate either yes or no.

	yes	no
The article can be published without changes		
The article can be published after minor changes		
After serious improvement, the article should be sent for acceptance by the reviewer		
The article is unfit for publication		

ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES

REVIEW FORM

PART III. Explanation when one of the categories in Part I was assessed as unsatisfactory.

Comments:

Changes to be made before publishing the article:

Necessary changes:

ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES

REVIEW FORM

Optional changes:

ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES

REVIEW FORM

I declare there is no conflict of interest between me and the author(s).

Reviewer:.....

Name:

Address:

Postal Code:

City:

Country:

Signature: